

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/796,166	Applicant(s) THEVELEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.

(2) Jennifer Hayes

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Arguments to the final rejection of parent case 9/330,262 were filed with this continuation application. Examiner asked for clarification regarding the filing of the arguments.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Michele K. Joike
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)